International Journal of Science, Technology and Management (IJSTM) ISSN (online): 2321-774X
Volume 12, Issue 1, 2025

A comprehensive Review on Techno-Economic and Environmental Impact Analysis of Hybrid Renewable Energy Systems

Lalit Agarwal, Vivek Kumar
BRCM CET Bahal Haryana

Abstract: Growing worldwide energy consumption and the urgent imperative to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions have intensified research into hybrid renewable energy systems (HRES). By combining complementary renewable sources including solar, wind, hydro, and biomass with optional energy storage integration, these systems improve power reliability, operational efficiency, and long-term sustainability. This review provides a systematic examination of HRES, with particular emphasis on techno-economic viability and ecological consequences. The study explores diverse system architectures, performance optimization strategies, and key economic metrics such as the Levelized Cost of Energy (LCOE) and Net Present Value (NPV). Additionally, it assesses environmental advantages, notably reductions in carbon emissions. The analysis identifies critical barriers, emerging research trends, and policy considerations necessary for scaling HRES adoption globally.

Keywords: Hybrid Renewable Energy Systems (HRES), Techno-Economic Evaluation, Environmental Sustainability, System Optimization, Energy Storage Solutions, Renewable Integration

Introduction:

The escalating worldwide energy requirements, fueled by accelerated industrial expansion, urban development, and demographic increases, have placed unprecedented strain on traditional fossil fuel-dependent power infrastructures. These conventional energy solutions face dual challenges of resource depletion and substantial environmental consequences, including significant contributions to atmospheric pollution, climate-altering emissions, and ecological damage. This reality has made the shift toward renewable energy technologies not just preferable but absolutely critical for establishing sustainable, resilient, and ecologically responsible power generation frameworks. Integrated Renewable Energy Solutions (HRES) have gained prominence as an effective response to the constraints inherent in single-source renewable installations and traditional power generation methods [1-4]. These sophisticated systems combine multiple generation technologies commonly including solar arrays, wind turbines, bioenergy converters, hydroelectric units, and supplementary storage or backup components - to deliver enhanced operational stability, financial attractiveness, and ecological advantages. The strategic blending of complementary energy sources addresses the inherent unpredictability and fluctuation issues that plague individual renewable technologies, resulting in more dependable and efficient electricity production.

Comprehensive performance evaluation of these hybrid systems requires simultaneous examination of engineering parameters and financial metrics. These multifaceted assessments are indispensable for determining project viability across diverse geographical locations and climate conditions. Contemporary analysis employs sophisticated digital modeling platforms including HOMER, RETScreen, and MATLAB/Simulink to optimize system architecture and operational parameters based on variable input conditions and consumption patterns. Concurrently, ecological evaluations measure the environmental consequences of hybrid systems relative to conventional alternatives [5-6]. Critical assessment criteria include greenhouse gas mitigation potential, spatial requirements, complete lifecycle emissions, and material consumption patterns. Such analyses quantify the net environmental advantages while identifying potential compromises. Through substantial displacement of carbon-intensive generation, these integrated systems directly support international sustainability commitments including the Paris Climate Accord, UN Development Agenda targets, and national decarbonization roadmaps [7-9]. The implementation of hybrid renewable solutions in isolated, rural, and underserved areas generates substantial community benefits beyond clean energy production. These systems enable stable and cost-effective electrification, foster regional energy autonomy, create employment opportunities in green technologies, and elevate living standards - particularly in emerging economies. Legislative measures, economic stimuli, regulatory structures, and continuous technological innovation remain crucial drivers for expanding hybrid system adoption.

Notwithstanding these benefits, several obstacles hinder full-scale implementation, including substantial upfront costs, engineering challenges in system harmonization, variability in renewable resource potential, and knowledge gaps among local populations. Consequently, a systematic examination of both technical-economic and environmental dimensions becomes imperative to inform decision-makers - spanning government agencies, technical specialists, financial institutions, and academic investigators - in developing tailored, optimized energy solutions [10-13].

This scholarly examination synthesizes contemporary research progress in hybrid renewable energy systems, concentrating specifically on practical implementation viability and ecological consequences. The analysis encompasses diverse system architectures, evaluative implementations, simulation methodologies, enhancement strategies, and governance proposals. Through rigorous assessment of current scholarship and identification of critical developments, research deficiencies, and emerging opportunities, this work aims to propel both theoretical understanding and practical application of sustainable hybrid energy models on a global scale.

Hybrid Renewable Energy System Configurations

Modern energy systems increasingly adopt hybrid renewable configurations to maximize resource utilization while addressing the intermittent nature of individual renewable sources. These integrated systems combine multiple generation technologies with storage solutions to deliver reliable power across various applications, from remote electrification to industrial power supply.

2.1 Solar-Wind Integrated Systems [14]

The pairing of photovoltaic and wind technologies represents one of the most extensively researched hybrid configurations due to their natural generation complementarity.

Temporal Generation Patterns [15]: Photovoltaic systems produce maximum output during daylight hours, coinciding with typical peak demand periods. Wind turbines, conversely, often achieve higher generation capacity during nighttime and overcast conditions, particularly in regions with consistent nocturnal wind patterns.

System Reliability and Deployment [16]: The synergistic operation of these technologies ensures more consistent energy availability, making them particularly suitable for both isolated microgrid applications (such as island communities or remote settlements) and grid-connected installations where they enhance supply security.

Design Optimization [17]: Careful sizing of photovoltaic arrays and wind turbine capacities is essential. Sophisticated simulation platforms and intelligent control systems enable efficient energy management while minimizing surplus generation.

2.2 Photovoltaic-Biomass Combined Systems [18]

This configuration merges the predictable output of solar energy with the stable baseload capacity of biomass conversion systems.

Baseload Characteristics: Biomass systems, utilizing organic matter including agricultural residues and purpose-grown energy crops, provide weather-independent generation capacity.

Peak Load Support: Solar generation supplements daytime energy requirements, reducing biomass fuel consumption and associated operational expenses.

Implementation Scenarios: These systems prove particularly valuable for rural energy access and continuous industrial processes. The utilization of local biomass resources promotes circular economy principles while addressing waste management challenges.

Sustainability Considerations: While offering substantial emissions reductions compared to fossil alternatives, the ecological footprint depends significantly on biomass sourcing practices and supply chain management.

2.3 Wind-Hydroelectric Synergistic Systems [19-21]

This configuration capitalizes on the complementary relationship between wind power and hydroelectric generation.

Energy Storage Integration: Surplus wind energy drives water pumping to elevated reservoirs during low-demand periods. The stored potential energy is subsequently converted to electricity during peak demand through hydroelectric generation.

Grid Integration Benefits: Such systems provide valuable grid stabilization services and are particularly advantageous in regions with established hydroelectric infrastructure and substantial wind resources.

Operational Advantages: The configuration offers scalable solutions for both autonomous power systems and grid reinforcement applications, combining renewable generation with inherent storage capabilities.

2.4 Energy Storage Integration

Storage technologies play a pivotal role in mitigating renewable generation variability and ensuring supply reliability.

Electrochemical Storage Solutions [22]:

- Lithium-based systems: Dominant in contemporary applications due to superior energy density and improving cost-effectiveness
- Lead-acid alternatives: Remain relevant for small-scale implementations despite limitations in cycle life and efficiency

Hydrogen-Based Storage:
Electrolytic hydrogen production and subsequent power generation through fuel cells present promising solutions for long-duration energy storage and clean fuel applications.

Thermal Energy Storage:
Concentrated solar power plants frequently incorporate thermal storage systems using advanced materials to store and dispatch thermal energy as needed.

3. Techno-Economic Evaluation Framework [23-24]

Comprehensive techno-economic assessment provides critical insights for hybrid system planning and investment decisions, enabling comparison of alternative configurations against technical and financial criteria.

3.1 Financial Performance Metrics [25-28]

Normalized Energy Cost (LCOE):
This fundamental metric calculates lifetime system expenditures relative to total energy production, serving as a key indicator of economic competitiveness. Hybrid systems frequently achieve favorable LCOE values compared to conventional alternatives, particularly as renewable technology costs continue to decline.

Discounted Cash Flow Analysis (NPV):
This valuation method determines project profitability by accounting for the time value of money. Policy mechanisms including production incentives and emissions trading schemes can substantially improve financial outcomes.

Capital Recovery Period:
This measure evaluates investment recoupment timelines, with storage-integrated systems typically exhibiting longer but ultimately more sustainable return profiles.

3.2 System Optimization Methodologies

Computational Modeling Platforms:

- HOMER Energy: Facilitates comprehensive scenario analysis incorporating resource variability and economic parameters
- Clean Energy Project Analysis Software: Enables integrated assessment of energy, emissions, and financial performance
- Dynamic System Simulation Tools: Provide sophisticated modeling capabilities for complex system interactions

Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis:

Advanced computational techniques address competing objectives including cost minimization, reliability maximization, and emissions reduction. Evolutionary algorithms and machine learning approaches are increasingly employed to identify optimal system configurations for specific operational contexts.

Conclusion

Hybrid Renewable Energy Systems (HRES) offer a viable approach to addressing global energy demands while mitigating environmental impacts and decreasing reliance on conventional fossil fuels. This review has explored multiple HRES configurations—such as combined solar-wind, solar-biomass, and wind-hydro setups—and highlighted the critical role of energy storage solutions, including battery systems, hydrogen-based storage, and thermal storage, in improving system stability and dependability.

A thorough techno-economic assessment indicates that although HRES require substantial initial investments, they yield long-term economic advantages through lower operational expenditures, enhanced efficiency, and optimized energy utilization. Key financial metrics, including the Levelized Cost of Energy (LCOE), Net Present Value (NPV), and capital recovery period, serve as essential benchmarks for assessing the economic viability of these integrated systems. Additionally, sophisticated computational tools such as HOMER Pro, RETScreen, and MATLAB/Simulink facilitate the design and simulation of optimized hybrid systems, ensuring compatibility with specific energy demands and regional resource conditions.

From an ecological perspective, HRES substantially reduce carbon emissions and environmental degradation by harnessing renewable energy sources. When implemented with sustainability considerations, these systems can advance global climate objectives and promote energy accessibility, particularly in remote and underserved areas. Beyond environmental benefits, HRES enhance energy security, foster local economic development, and provide resilience against grid instability and fluctuating fuel prices.

However, widespread HRES adoption encounters several barriers, including high capital costs, technical complexities in system integration, intermittent renewable generation, and the necessity for robust policy support. Overcoming these challenges demands collaborative efforts among policymakers, industry stakeholders, researchers, and local communities to facilitate large-scale implementation.

References

1. H. Rehman and L. M. Al-Hadhrami, “Study of a solar PV–diesel–battery hybrid power system for a remotely located population near Rafha, Saudi Arabia,” *Energy*, vol. 35, no. 12, pp. 4986–4995, Dec. 2010.
2. S. Diaf, D. Diaf, M. Belhamel, M. Haddadi, and A. Louche, “A methodology for optimal sizing of autonomous hybrid PV/wind system,” *Energy Policy*, vol. 35, no. 11, pp. 5708–5718, Nov. 2007.
3. M. B. Shadmand and R. S. Balog, “Multi-objective optimization and design of hybrid renewable energy systems using evolutionary algorithms,” *IEEE Trans. Ind. Electron.*, vol. 62, no. 7, pp. 4266–4277, Jul. 2015.
4. K. Kusakana and H. J. Vermaak, “Hybrid renewable power systems for mobile telephony base stations in developing countries,” *Renew. Energy*, vol. 51, pp. 419–425, Mar. 2013.
5. M. Homod, A. H. A. Alkahtani, and K. Sopian, “Review of solar and wind energy integrated with battery storage systems,” *Sustain. Cities Soc.*, vol. 41, pp. 436–445, Aug. 2018.
6. G. Bekele and B. Palm, “Feasibility study for a standalone solar–wind-based hybrid energy system for application in Ethiopia,” *Appl. Energy*, vol. 87, no. 2, pp. 487–495, Feb. 2010.
7. R. Dufo-López, J. L. Bernal-Agustín, and J. M. Yusta-Loyo, “Multi-objective optimization minimizing cost and life cycle emissions of stand-alone PV–wind–diesel systems with batteries storage,” *Appl. Energy*, vol. 88, no. 11, pp. 4033–4041, Nov. 2011.
8. G. Tina, S. Gagliano, and S. Raiti, “Hybrid solar/wind power system probabilistic modeling for long-term performance assessment,” *Sol. Energy*, vol. 80, no. 5, pp. 578–588, May 2006.
9. N. A. Nfah and J. M. Ngundam, “Feasibility of pico-hydro and PV hybrid power systems for remote villages in Cameroon,” *Renew. Energy*, vol. 34, no. 6, pp. 1445–1450, Jun. 2009.
10. L. Wang and C. Singh, “PSO-based multi-criteria optimum design of a grid-connected hybrid power system with multiple renewable sources,” *IEEE Trans. Sustain. Energy*, vol. 1, no. 4, pp. 284–294, Oct. 2010.
11. M. M. Rahman, M. Hasanuzzaman, and N. A. Rahim, “Effects of various parameters on PV-module power and efficiency,” *Energy Convers. Manage.*, vol. 103, pp. 348–358, Oct. 2015.
12. D. Connolly, H. Lund, B. V. Mathiesen, and M. Leahy, “A review of computer tools for analyzing the integration of renewable energy into various energy systems,” *Appl. Energy*, vol. 87, no. 4, pp. 1059–1082, Apr. 2010.
13. B. Zakeri and S. Syri, “Electrical energy storage systems: A comparative life cycle cost analysis,” *Renew.*

Sustain. Energy Rev., vol. 42, pp. 569–596, Feb. 2015.

14. N. Ghaffarzadeh, M. Fotuhi-Firuzabad, and M. R. Haghifam, "A stochastic multi-objective model for the design of hybrid renewable energy systems," *IEEE Trans. Sustain. Energy*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 739–748, Jul. 2013.
15. P. Bajpai and V. Dash, "Hybrid renewable energy systems for power generation in stand-alone applications: A review," *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, vol. 16, no. 5, pp. 2926–2939, Jun. 2012.
16. M. S. Nazir, M. R. Khalid, and M. I. Sarwar, "Design and techno-economic analysis of a hybrid renewable energy system for rural electrification in Pakistan," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 175359–175373, Sep. 2020.
17. HOMER Pro Software, HOMER Energy by UL. [Online]. Available: https://www.homerenergy.com
18. RETScreen Clean Energy Management Software. [Online]. Available: https://www.nrcan.gc.ca/maps-tools-and-publications/tools/retscreen/7465
19. International Renewable Energy Agency (IRENA), "Renewable Power Generation Costs in 2022," IRENA, Abu Dhabi, 2023.
20. M. Moghaddam, M. Moazzami, and S. H. Fathi, "Optimization of hybrid renewable energy systems by considering smart grid technology," *Energy Strateg. Rev.*, vol. 23, pp. 71–79, Apr. 2019.
21. S. K. Sahoo, "Renewable and sustainable energy reviews: Techno-economic analysis of stand-alone hybrid renewable energy system for rural electrification in India," *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, vol. 59, pp. 388–398, Jun. 2016.
22. H. Yang, W. Zhou, L. Lu, and Z. Fang, "Optimal sizing method for stand-alone hybrid solar–wind system with LPSP technology by using genetic algorithm," *Sol. Energy*, vol. 82, no. 4, pp. 354–367, Apr. 2008.
23. S. Mekhilef, R. Saidur, and A. Safari, "Comparative study of different fuel cell technologies," *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 981–989, Jan. 2012.
24. S. Yousefi, E. R. Beigvand, and B. Mohammadi-Ivatloo, "Optimal planning of hybrid energy systems: A review," *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.*, vol. 71, pp. 1280–1290, May 2017.
25. S. A. Kalogirou, "Solar thermal collectors and applications," *Prog. Energy Combust. Sci.*, vol. 30, no. 3, pp. 231–295, May 2004.
26. D. Zhou, C. Y. Zhao, and Y. Tian, "Review on thermal energy storage with phase change materials (PCMs) in building applications," *Appl. Energy*, vol. 92, pp. 593–605, Apr. 2012.
27. M. Hossain, R. P. Aguilera, A. Pandey, and A. Taha, "Review of cyber-physical security of smart grid," *IEEE Trans. Ind. Informat.*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 179–190, Jan. 2019.

28. NITI Aayog, "India Energy Security Scenarios 2047," Govt. of India. [Online]. Available: https://www.indiaenergy.gov.in